



2013

-
 •
 •

-
-

	:
1	1.1
2	2.1
3	3.1
3	4.1
4	5.1
	:
5	1.2
24	2.2
24	1.2.2
27	2.2.2
30	3.2
	:
31	1.3
31	2.3
31	3.3
34	4.3
35	5.3
36	6.3

36	7.3
	:
38	1.4
45	2.4
48	3.4
50	
54	

32		1
32		2
33		3
33		4
34		5
36	()	6
39		7
40		8
41	(One Sample t. test)	9
42		10
	(One Way Anova)	
43		11
43		12
44	(One Way Anova)	13

44

14

(One Way Anova)

45

15

55

60

66

2013

157

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

:

.

.

Abstract
**The Use Of Technical Means By Criminal Investigator and
Its Relation To Crime Detection In Jordan**

Alaa Ali Alaruod

Mu'tah University 2013

The present study aimed to identify the use of technical means investigators and their relationship to Crime Detection in Jordan, and in order to achieve this goal questionnaire was designed and distributed to a total sample size of 157 Single. Appropriate statistical methods were used to extract the results of the study, the study has emerged a set of the most important results:

1. The degree of use of the technical means of investigators in crime detection in Jordan were medium.
2. More crime patterns that have been discovered through technical means in Jordan was a theft crime, then the crime of drug trafficking, murder and then, then the crime of robbery.
3. There is a statistically significant relationship between the use of technical means of investigators and crime detection in Jordan.
4. No differences with investigators about their use of the degree means of technical attributed to age, length of service, educational level.

the study found a number of recommendations including: intensification of training courses in the use of modern technology used in the detection of crime. And benefit from the experience of the investigators in the leading countries in the use of modern technology through the exchange of experiences with them.

: **1.1**

.(2008)

.

: 2.1

.

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.

: 3.1

:

.1

.

.2

)

.

(

.3

.

:

4.1

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

)

.(

: 5.1

:

.(2003)

:

)

(

.

:

.

: 1.2

:

:

: :

.(2010)

)

(

.(2007)

.(2000)

.(2001)

.

:

.(1999)

.(2007)

:

.1

.

.2

%95

.

.3

.(2007)

)

()

(

.()

.(1985)

(2007)

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

()

.

.(2001)

)

125

.(1998) (%20

.(1998)

.

.()

.()

.(1998)

.(2001)

.(1993)

:(2000)

()

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

:)

.() (

.(2000)

:() :

.

.

.(1998)

()

()

:

)

.(1986

.(2001)

:

:

.

)

.(2007

.(2010)

.

.(1996)

.

:(D.N.A) "

"

:

"

"

1984

"

"

"

1985

"

"

"

"

"(DNA)"

.(2009)

(DNA)

Deoxyribo)

DNA

.(Nucleic Acid

DNA

.

:

"

"

Inman)

.(& Rudin, 1997

(DNA)

()

.(2001) .

.

.

%100

.

)

.(2000

:()

:

.(2005)

“ ”

(2005)

.

:

:

)

.(1990

.(2007)

.

.(2010)

)

.(1986

:

:

.(2008)

: :

.

.(2000)

.

.

.

.

.(1998)

:

.(2000)

.(2002)

.(2000)

.(2000)

"

.

(2002).

:

.1

.

.2

()

.3

.

.4

(2010).

(2000).

.(2007)

.

:

:

-

()

.

.

.

.

.(Hirschi, 1969)

-

-

()

.(Walters, 1990:30)

(Direct Control)
()

.(2012)

:

.

.(2010)

·
·
·(2000)
()

·()

: 2.2

: 1.2.2

(2010)

·

·

·

·

(2007)

(2005)

DNA

(2004)

.

.

(2004)

DNA

.

.

(2003)

.

(2001)

2.2.2

(Hakan, 2009)

(Information Technology)

·
:
(Lyman, 2008)

·
()
·

·
:
(Amy, 2007)

·(MS)

(DAD)

(CE)

()

·()

(CE DAD)

(1)

(2)

MS

" "

10 %97.9

9

: (Jason, 2007)

DNA

" "

DNA

" "

DNA

(STR)

DNA

DNA

DNA

: 3.2

: **1.3**

: **2.3**

. 1650

: **3.3**

. (165)

(160)

(157)

(%10)

(%95.2)

:

(1)

%	
94.3	148
5.7	9
100.0	157

%94.3

()

%5.7

(2)

%		
15.3	24	25
65.0	102	35-26
14.6	23	45-36
5.1	8	46
100.0	157	

%65.0

(2)

%15.3 (35-26)

%14.6 (25)

(46)

(45-36)

%5.1

%		
22.3	35	5
39.5	62	10-6
18.5	29	15-11
19.7	31	16
100.0	157	

%		
16.6	26	
24.2	38	
54.1	85	
5.1	8	
100.0	157	
	%54.1	(4)
%16.6		%24.2

%5.1

(5)

%		
49.0	77	5
38.9	61	10-6
10.2	16	15-11
1.9	3	16
100.0	157	

%49.0

%38.9 5

15-11 %10.2 10-6

16 %1.9

: **4.3**

) (2010)

(Amy, 2007) (2004) (2004) (2007

:

:

) :

.(

42 :

.	:
.	(9-1) .1
.()	(13-10) .2
.	(17-14) .3
.()	(23-18) .4
.()	(27-24) .5
.	(31-28) .6
.	(36-32) .7
.	(42-37) .8

:	(Likert)
(5)	() .1
(4)	() .2
(3)	() .3
(2)	() .4
(1)	() .5

: 5.3

(5)

.		(%80)
		6.3
Cronbach's)		(Alpha
		(0.927)
-0.797)		
:()		(0.914
(6)		
()		
)		
0.876		9-1
0.797	()	13-10
0.831		17-14
0.914	()	23-18
0.889	()	27-24
0.874		31-28
0.869		36-32
0.879		42-37
0.927		42-1

: **7.3**

:(SPSS.V-16)

.1

)

.2 (One Sample t .test) (t)

.3 :

.()

: 1.4

:

.

:

(2.33) (3.67-2.34) (3.68)
(3.68)

(3.67-2.34)

(2.33)

.

(7)

4	0.88219	3.6681		9-1
8	0.80979	1.6385)	13-10
6	0.81256	1.9968	(17-14
2	0.80926	4.3471)	23-18
5	1.11587	3.2787	()	27-24
7	0.97526	1.9108		31-28
3	0.68243	4.1159		36-32
1	0.49301	4.6964		42-37
-	0.42589	3.4084		42-1

(7)

(3.4084)

(0.42589)

(0.49301)

(4.6964)

(4.3471)

()

(0.80926)

(0.68243)

(4.1159)

(0.88219)

(3.6681)

(3.2787)

()

(1.9968)

(1.11587)

(0.81256)

(0.97526) (1.9108)
 (1.6385) ()
 .(0.80979)

:

.

.

(8)

%		
75.2	118	1
56.7	89	2
70.1	110	3
54.1	85	4
63.1	99	5
47.8	75	6
45.9	72	7
51.0	80	8
72.0	113	9
61.8	97	10
46.5	73	11
46.5	73	12
37.6	59	13
36.9	58	14
29.9	47	15
%100	1248	-

(8)

%72.0 %75.2
%63.1 %70.1
%56.7 %61.8
%54.1
%47.8 %51.0
%45.9 %46.5
%36.9 %37.6
%29.9
:

One Sample)
(t. test

(9)

(One Sample t. test)

t			
0.000	*9.489	0.88219	3.6681
0.000	*21.066-	0.80979	1.6385 ()
0.000	*15.470-	0.81256	1.9968
0.000	*20.858	0.80926	4.3471 ()
0.002	*3.129	1.11587	3.2787 ()
0.000	*13.993-	0.97526	1.9108
0.000	*20.489	0.68243	4.1159
0.000	*43.114	0.49301	4.6964
0.000	*12.015	0.42589	3.4084
.(0.05 ≥ α)			
*			

(9)

Test)

α)

(t)

(Value = 3

.(0.05 \geq

:

.

(10)

0.55860	3.4812	25
0.39004	3.4048	35-26
0.46992	3.3923	45-36
0.30129	3.2827	46
0.42589	3.4084	

(10)

:

(One Way Anova)

(11)
(One Way Anova)

F	F			
		0.087	3	0.261
0.701	0.474	0.183	153	28.035
			156	28.296

(11)

()

.(0.05 \geq α)

(0.474)

:

.

(12)

0.52739	3.5320	5
0.38366	3.4121	10-6
0.36280	3.3227	15-11
0.41987	3.3418	16
0.42589	3.4084	

(12)

:

(One Way Anova)

(13)
(One Way Anova)

F	F			
		0.295	3	0.886
0.181	1.649	0.179	153	27.410
			156	28.296

(13)

.(0.05 ≥ α) (1.649) ()

:

.

(14)

0.43374	3.5055
0.38623	3.3540
0.42901	3.3992
0.56508	3.4494
0.42589	3.4084

(14)

: (One Way Anova)

(15)

(One Way Anova)

F	F			
		0.126	3	378.
0.559	0.691	0.182	153	27.918
			156	28.296

(15)

.(0.05 $\geq \alpha$) (0.691) ()

: 2.4

:

.1

()

.

()

.

.()

()

)

)

(

.

(2010)

(2005)

.

(2004)

.

.

.2

()

()

.3

(2004)

(Hakan, 2009)

(Lyman, 2008)

.

()

.

(Jason, 2007)

DNA

(STR)

.

.4

()

.

.5

.

.6

.

: **3.4**

:

.1

.

.2

.3

.4

: -
 : 2 . (1985)
 .
 DNA (2004)
 .11 .
 .
 :
 . (2009)
ww.biu.edu.lb/pages/news/lecture.edu :
 . (1996)
 .
 :
 . (1998)
 .
 1 . (2007)
 . ()
 . (2005) .
 .
 . (2003) .
 .
 . (2000) .
 .
 () . (2012)
 .
 .

.(2007)

.(2000) .

1 .

.(1999)

:

.(2000) .

.

.

.(2000)

.

.(2003)

.

-21

.2002/10/26

.(1993)

.

.

. 24-23

1

.(2002)

1

.(2000)

.(2002) .

.

. (2001)

. (2010)

1

. (1998)

. 88-72 : (11)

. (2010)

. 310-248 : 15

. (2004)

. (2007) .

. (1990)

. (2010)

. (2005)

1 . (2000)

.(1986)

.(2005)

: 37 .

.(1998)

.(2001)

.(2008) .

- Amy, R. (2007). **Forensic Analysis Of Dyes and Inks Using, Capillary Electrophoresis/Diode Array Detection/mass Spectrometry and UV/Visible Micro Spectro Photometry With Multivariate Statistics**, Doctor Thesis, University Of South Carolina.
- Hakan, H. (2009). **Information Technology and Criminal Investigations: Does it matter?**. Ph.D Dissertation, University Of North Carolina Of Charlotte, U.S.A.
- Hirschi, T.(1969), **Causes Of Delinquency**. Berkeley, CA: University Of California Press.
- Inman, K. & Rudin, N., (eds). (1997). **An Introduction To Forensic DNA, Analysis**, CRC Press, Inc., New York.
- Jason . R. (2007). **Computational Methods For The Objective Review of Forensic DNA Testing Results**, Ph.D Dissertation, Wright State University, U.S.A.
- Lyman ,Michael (2008). Criminal Investigation: The Art and The Science , NCJRS Abstract, **available on: [http:// www.prenhall.com](http://www.prenhall.com)**.
- Walter, Glenn D. (1990).**The Criminal Lifestyle: Patterns Of Serious Criminal Conduct**.(1st ed).Sega Publication, California.

()



...../

.

:	(x)	:
()	()	
40-31 ()	30 ()	
51 ()	50-41 ()	
	
15-11 ()	5 ()	
16 ()	10-6 ()	
() .2	() .1	
() .4	() .3	
() .6	() .5	
() .8	() .7	
() .10	() .9	
() .12	() .11	
() .14	() .13	
	() .15	
.....	()	

(x)

:

:

						:
						1
						2
						3
						4
					cd)	5
) (.....	6
) (7
) (.....	8
						9
						:()
						10
						11
						12

						13
:						
						14
						15
						16
						17
:()						
						18
						19
						20
						21
						22
						23
:()						
						24
						25
					()	26
						27
:						
						28

						29
						30
						31
:						
						32
						33
						34
						35

()



...../

.

:		(x)	:
()		()	
		()	
		()	
.....			
() .17	() .16		
() .19	() .18		
() .21	() .20		
() .23	() .22		
() .25	() .24		
() .27	() .26		
() .29	() .28		
	() .30		
..... ()			
		()	
.....			

(x)

:

:

						:
						1
						2
						3
						4
					cd)	5
) (.....	6
) (7
) (.....	8
						9
						:()
						10
						11
						12

						13
:						
						14
						15
						16
						17
:()						
						18
						19
						20
						21
						22
						23
:()						
						24
						25
					()	26
						27
:						
						28

						29
						30
						31
:						
						32
						33
						34
						35
						36
						37
) (38
						39
						40
						41
						42

()
